

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,610	06/14/2006	Pekka Hautala	AWEK 3444	1659
	7590 01/02/2000 AND BEDELL, P.C.	EXAMINER		
16100 NW CORNELL ROAD, SUITE 220			ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE	
BEAVERTON	, OR 9/006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/575,610	HAUTALA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gary Estremsky	3673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	I	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 38-74 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 38-74 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	vn from consideration.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F	ate			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>hereto</u> .					

Application/Control Number:

10/575,610 Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- Claims 38 and 58 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. claim 38; line 4 recitation of "the said lockable parts" lacks clear antecedent basis in the claim.
- 3. claim 58; "any of the" should be deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 38-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). It's not clear what the scope of elements within scope of recitation should include.
- 6. Claim 1; limitations of "is mainly transversely in relation to" does not clearly describe geometry/structural relationship.

Application/Control Number:

10/575,610 Art Unit: 3673

7. Claim 1; 'as best understood', "when various" should be replaced with –wherein various—to correct grammar.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 38-42 and 64-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,118,150 to Jarrett.
- 10. Jarrett '150 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "first locking element" one of 18,22, a "second locking element" the other of 18,22, "acting element" 30, "hinge means" at 31, "gripping bracket" portion which engages 18
- 11. As regards claim 39, Jarrett '150 discloses "safety catch" 32.
- 12. As regards claim 69, the solenoid serves as "sensor", performing the recited function.
- 13. As regards claims 71-74, the disclosed operation of the prior art device anticipates broadly-recited steps.

10/575,610 Art Unit: 3673

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 43-63 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 16. U.S. Pat. No. 3,050,322 to Miller.
- 17. U.S. Pat. No. 3,247,924 to Price.
- 18. U.S. Pat. No. 5,865,483 to Dubravin.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 571 272-7055. The examiner can normally be reached on T,W,Th,F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on 571 272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/575,610 Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272,1000.

Gary Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673